# **RESEARCH REPORTS** TITLE XII POND DYNAMICS/AQUACULTURE COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH SUPPORT PROGRAM

# **Evaluation of Nile Tilapia Production Systems in Egypt**

Bartholomew W. Green Department of Fisheries and Allied Aquaculture Auburn University, AL 36849-5419, USA

Zeinab El Nagdy, Hussein Hebicha, Ibrahim Shaker, Dia A. R. Kenawy, and Abdel R. El Gamal Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research Agricultural Research Center, Abbassa, Abou Hammad, Sharkia, Egypt

# Abstract

Experiments were conducted at the Central Laboratory for Aquacultural Research to 1.) evaluate and compare the performance of established PD/A CRSP pond management systems to Egyptian pond management systems and 2.) to assess the economic potential of different tilapla pond culture systems. Five management practices --*Traditional Egyptian, Enhanced Egyptian, Feed Only, Fertilization then Feed, and Chemical Fertilization--* were tested in twenty 0.1-ha earthen ponds. Young-of-year Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) were stocked 20,000 fish /ha and fingerling African catfish were subsequently stocked 60 fish/ha to prey on tilapia offspring. Water quality variables were analyzed weekly for 17 weeks. The Free-Water Diel curve method was used to determine primary productivity on six occasions. Dissolved oxygen was measured with a polarographic dissolved oxygen meter at depths of 5 cm, 25 cm, 50 cm, and 75 cm. Economic potential and profitability were also evaluated using Enterprise Budget Analysis. *Fertilization then Feed, Traditional Egyptian*, and *Enhanced Egyptian* treatments, in decreasing order, were more economically viable and produced the greatest gross fish yields, net returns, and average rates of return on capital. These treatments had the highest values of production per man-hour per kilogram of feed and per Egyptian pound. In addition, the treatments achieved the highest margins between average prices and break even prices to cover total variable costs of total costs. Production trial results and economic analyses demonstrated sufficient incentive for the expansion of intensified pond culture in Egypt.

#### Introduction

Fish, an important source of animal protein, is a component of the traditional Egyptian diet. Consumption of fish and fish products was estimated at 350,000 MT in 1989—100,000 MT were imported, 33,000 MT were produced through aquaculture, and the remainder was supplied by domestic capture fisheries. While aquaculture has been practiced in Egypt for thousands of years, systematic aquacultural research to increase fish pond yields in Egypt is relatively new. The Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research (CLAR), Abbassa, Abou Hammad, Sharkia, in-augurated in the mid-1980s, was part of the General Authority for Fish Resources Development until November 1992 when it became part of the Agricultural Research Center (ARC). CLAR's mission, as a member of the ARC, is to conduct applied research to improve freshwater

aquaculture production practices. In October 1992, the Egypt Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture Collaborative Research Support Program (PD/A CRSP) was initiated at CLAR as part of the Egyptian National Agricultural Research Project (NARP) Collaborative Research Component to complement previous and concurrent CLAR research efforts.

Traditional Egyptian aquaculture involves a polyculture of mixed-sex, young-of-year tilapia, common and silver carp, and mullet. Ponds are fertilized with organic and chemical fertilizers, and fish are offered commercial rations. Pond aquaculture of tilapia is an important component of Egyptian aquaculture, yet management practices and fish yields are variable. Results of PD/A CRSP Global

V

Treatment	Tilapia Stocked	Chicken Litter (dry matter)	Urea	Super- phosphate	Pelleted Feed (25% protein)	
			(kg/ha)			
Traditional Egyptian	Mixed sex	715 (initial) 238 (monthly)	23.8 (2-wk intervals)	212.2 (2-wk intervals)	3% fish biomass daily	
Enhanced Egyptian	Mixed sex	1,000 (weekly for first 60 days)	***	***	3% fish biomass daily beginning day 61	
Feed Only	Monosex (males)	***	***	***	3% fish biomass daily	
Fertilization then Feed	Monosex (males)	1,000 (weekly for first 60 days)	***	***	3% fish biomass daily beginning day 61	
Chemical Fertilization (N:P of 4:1)	Monosex (males)	***	54.4 (weekly)	92.4 (weekly)	***	

Table 1. Nutrient inputs and frequency of application by treatment for 0.1-ha ponds stocked with Nile tilapia (O. niloticus).

Experiment research in other countries have identified a number of tilapia pond management strategies that have produced high fish yields and positive economic returns. These pond management systems, however, were developed in tropical countries, whereas Egypt is a subtropical country with an arid climate.

Economic considerations in selection of an appropriate aquacultural production system include its potential for economic returns, its economic efficiency, and the farmer's access to operating capital. Few reports of economic evaluation of aquacultural production systems exist in Egypt. Soliman and Gaber (1988) compared production level, production variability, economic efficiency, and technology level for two different fish culture systems. El Hendy (1990) evaluated technical and economic aspects of tilapia cage culture in Domyatt, Egypt. Reports of economic evaluation of tilapia pond culture practices in Egypt have been lacking. Thus, the PD/A CRSP conducted Year I Global Experiment research with the following objectives: 1.) to evaluate performance of established PD/A CRSP pond management systems under local climatic, edaphic, and water quality conditions, 2.) to compare these systems to Egyptian pond management systems, and 3.) to assess the economic potential of different tilapia pond culture systems.

# **Materials and Methods**

The experiments were conducted in twenty 0.1-ha earthen ponds located at CLAR. Five pond management practices were tested using a completely randomized design (Table 1). Young-of-year Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), averaging 1-3 g, were stocked 20,000 fish/ha in ponds on 1 July 1993. Fingerling African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) averaging 59 g were stocked 60 fish/ha on 13 September 1993 to prey on tilapia offspring. Ponds were harvested in late November, approximately 145 days after stocking. Tilapia were separated according to the following established market size classes: 1st class (1-5 fish/kg), 2nd class (6-12 fish/kg), 3rd class (13-25 fish/kg), and 4th class. (26-40 fish/kg).

Chemical fertilizers were dissolved and dispersed over the pond surface. Chicken litter composed of bedding (rice or wheat chaff), manure, feathers, and waste feed was purchased from a local layer operation and applied on a dry matter (DM) basis. DM was measured weekly by calculating the weight loss of chicken litter samples weighed before and after drying for 24 h at 60°C. Water was added to ponds periodically to replace evaporation and scepage.

Treatment	Gross Fish Yield <sup>1</sup>	(kg/	Tilapia Yield ha per 145 d	Tilapia Repro-	Catfish Yield	
	-	Nile	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total	-	
Traditional Egyptian	4,074 a	2,877 a	346 a	3,223 a	634 a	201
Enhanced Egyptian	2,881 ab	2,674 a	81 a	2,755 ab	0 b	121
Feed Only	2,467 ab	1,770 ab	218 a	1,989 ab	170 b	308
Fertilization then Feed	3,895 a	2,851 a	686 a	3,537 a	99 b	253

129 a

1,407 b

Table 2. Summary of mean harvest data for 0.1-ha earthen ponds that received different nutrient inputs during 145-day production period.

Means within columns followed by same letter (a,b,c) are not significantly different (P>0.05).

1,278 b

<sup>1</sup> Includes tilapia reproduction and extraneous fish harvested.

1,526 b

<sup>2</sup> Includes O. aureus, S. galilae, T. zilli.

**Chemical Fertilization** 

Water quality variables were analyzed weekly for 17 weeks according to Standard Methods (APHA, 1989). Primary productivity was determined on six occasions according to the Free-Water Diel Curve method (Hall and Moll, 1975). Dissolved oxygen was measured with a polarographic dissolved oxygen meter at 4-h intervals for 24 hours beginning at 0600 h and ending at 0600 h the following day. Measurements were made at depths of 5 cm, 25 cm, 50 cm, and 75 cm. Community respiration was estimated as two times the decrease in dissolved oxygen that occurred Letween 1800 h of the first day and 0600 h of the following day. Dissolved oxygen measurements were not corrected for diffusion as wind data were not available.

Economic potential and profitability of an enterprise were evaluated through enterprise budget analysis (Kay, 1981; Boehlje and Eidman, 1984; Hatch and Hanson, 1991). Global Experiment data on pond stocking, management, and harvest were used in the economic analyses. Harvested tilapia were sold to the Cairo Fish Marketing Company. Data on labor and equipment requirements were obtained from available information on the production ponds at CLAR. Data on pond construction costs were obtained from the General Authority for Fish Resources Development, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation. Enterprise Eudgets were developed for each production system based on a 2.1-ha pond stocked with 20,000 tilapia/ha for a 145-day grow-out period. Charges for land were not included in the cost estimates; land was assumed to be owned and previously used for aquaculture.

21 b

97

Fish production and water quality data were analyzed by ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls post-hoc comparison. Means were declared significantly different at alpha level 0.05.

# **Results and Discussion**

#### Fish Production

Mean gross yields of Nile tilapia ranged from 1,278-2,877 kg/ha per 145 days (Table 2). Unfortunately, screened pond water inlets did not completely exclude wild tilapia (*Oreochromis aureus, Sarotherodon galilae, Tilapia zilli*) or African catfish present in Egyptian waterways. Total tilapia yield, which includes stocked and wild tilapia, represented 79-96% of gross fish yield (Table 2). Significantly greater quantities of tilapia offspring were produced in the *Traditional Egyptian* treatment than in the other remaining treatments (Table 2). Tilapia offspring comprised more than 15% of the gross fish yield of the *Traditional Egyptian* treatment. African catfish stocked to prey on tilapia offspring were unable to exert complete control over stocked tilapia reproduction. African catfish comprised 4-13% of gross fish yield.

Treatment	Total Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO3)	Ammonia (mg/L NH3-N)	Nitrite (mg/L NO <sub>2</sub> -N)	Nitrate (mg/L NO <sub>3</sub> -N)	Total Phosphorus (mg/L PO4-P)	Chlorophyll a (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Net Primary Productivity (g O <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>3</sup> /d)	Gross Primary Productivity (g O <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>3</sup> /d)	Community Respiration (g O <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>3</sup> /d)
Traditional Egyptian	347.32 b	0.74 a	0.005 a	0.120 a	3.02 b	154.1 a	10.44 b	18.66 bc	16.44 bc
Enhanced Egyptian	374.28 bc	0.84 a	0.020 a	0.125 a	2.63 b	234.0 a	12.64 b	23.67 c	22.06 c
Fertilization then Feed	412.56 c	0.80 a	0.015 a	0.125 a	2.12 b	241.0 a	9.39 b	16.50 b	14.22 b
Feed Only	347.29 b	0.84 a	0.007 a	0.110 a	0.52 a	125.5 a	5.87 a	10.20 a	8.66 a
Chemical Fertilization	283.40 a	0.86 a	0.150 b	0.803 b	2.04 b	202.8 a	10.68 b	19.37 bc	17.39 bc

Table 3. Water quality variable means in 0.1-ha earthen ponds stocked with 20,000 Nile tilapia/ha for a 145-day grow-out period.

Means within columns followed by same letter (a,b,c) are not significantly different (P>0.05).

	Tilapia Yield by Size Class								
	1st Class	2nd Class	3rd Class	4th Class					
Treatment	kg/ha per 145 days								
Traditional Egyptian	228 ab	1.658 a	1.130 a	203 a					
Enhanced Egyptian	!.066 a	1.413 a	190 b	87 a					
Feed Only	148 ab	880 a	646 ab	315 a					
Fertilization then Feed	844 a	1.584 a	604 ab	506 a					
Chemical Fertilization	4 b	516 a	721 ab	167 a					

Table 4. Mean yield of tilapia (categorized by size class) from 0.1-ha ponds managed according to different nutrient input regimes during a 145-day grow-out period.

Means within columns followed by the same letter (a,b,c) are not significantly different (P>0.05).

The Traditional Egyptian and Fertilization then Feed treatments exhibited a lack of significant differences in total tilapia yield indicating that the addition of commercial ration was not necessary during the first two months of culture. Natural productivity stimulated by pond fertilization was sufficient to permit rapid fish growth during the first two months of culture (Green, 1992). Pelleted fish ration, probably consumed inefficiently by tilapia during the initial 60 days of culture, acted more as an expensive pond fertilizer. Fertilizer applications beyond day 60 in the Traditional Egyptian treatment did not appear to affect tilapia yield significantly when compared to the Fertilization then Feed treatment, which only applied feed. Thus, fertilizer application beyond day 60 in the Traditional Egyptian treatment probably was not necessary.

The application of 3.6 kg N/ha per day at a 4N:1P ratio in the Chemical Fertilization treatment yielded 9.7 kg tilapia/ha per day (net tilapia yield). A net tilapia yield of 10 kg/ha per day was obtained in ponds fertilized with 3.8 kg N/ha per day in Rwanda (Newman et al., 1994). In Thailand, net tilapia yields of 30.5 kg/ha per day (Knud-Hansen et al., 1992) and 21.5 kg/ha per day (Diana et al., 1994) were reported for ponds fertilized with 4 kg N/ha per day at a 4N:1P ratio. Ponds in Honduras fertilized with 3.6 kg N/ha per day resulted in a net tilapia yield of 11.5 kg/ha per day (Teichert-Coddington et al., 1993). Net tilapia yields from ponds fertilized with a high rate of chemical fertilizer appeared similar to net tilapia yields in Egypt, Honduras, and Rwanda, but appeared less similar to yields obtained in Thailand. The observed differences in tilapia yields may be attributed to higher mean water temperatures in Thailand.

The poor performance of fish in the Feed Only treatment most likely resulted from the inability of the young fish to consume the commercial fish feed pellet, rather than the quality of feed. Although feed for small fish should be of small particle size, the commercially available feed pellets in Egypt generally are 3-4 mm in diameter. The small quantity of feed initially offered to fish was of little value even as fertilizer to stimulate natural productivity, so fish growth was limited by food availability. This is supported by the lower observed mean chlorophyll a concentration and significantly lower net primary productivity in the Feed Only treatment (Table 3). Natural productivity resulting from heavy fertilization sufficiently maintains fast fish growth during the first two months of grow-out, as was observed in the Fertilization then Feed treatment. In fact, fish growth during the first two months did not differ between the Fertilization then Feed and the Traditional Egyptian treatments, even though fish were offered feed in the latter treatment. Once natural productivity can no longer maintain fast growth, the use of fish feed once again may permit the fast growth of fish, as was observed in the Fertilization then Feed treatment.

While PD/A CRSP pond management treatments did not produce significantly greater tilapia yields than the *Traditional Egyptian* treatment, an examination of tilapia size distribution revealed some differences among treatments (Table 4). A larger percentage of tilapia from the *Enhanced Egyptian* and *Fertilization then Feed* treatments were in the first and second size classes compared with the percentage of tilapia from the *Traditional Egyptian* treatment. The economic implications of this difference among treatments are important—fish of first-class-

Table 5. Summary of economic returns in Egyptian pounds (L.E.; L.E. 3.395 = US \$1, Dec 1994) and
performance ratios for five Nile tilapia production systems in a 2.1-ha earthen pond for a 145-day grow-out
period.

	Production Systems						
	Fertilizat- ion then Feed	Traditional Egyptian	Enhanced Egyptian	Feed Only	Chemical Fertilization		
Net Returns (L.E.)	19.104	14.494	14.111	3.710	987		
Total Production (kg)	7.616	6.757	5.694	4.159	2.973		
Average Price (L.E.)	5.41	4.94	6.41	4.61	4.18		
Total Variable Costs (L.E.)	18.100	14.900	18.370	11.461	7.430		
AVC/kg Produced (L. E.)	2.38	2.21	3.23	2.76	2.50		
Return/kg Above TVC (L.E.)	3.03	2.73	3.18	1.85	1.68		
Value of Production/Man-h (L.E.)	104.05	56.50	94.76	55.90	56.31		
Value of Production/L.E. of TVC (L.E.)	227.62	224.03	198.71	167.30	167.28		
Value of Production/100 kg Feed (L.E.)	486.34	368.62	358.25	245.34	NA		
Break-even Price to Cover TC (L.E.)	2.90	2.80	3.93	3.72	3.84		
Break-even Yield to Cover TC (kg)	3.830	3.873	3.506	3.269	2.569		
Margin between Average Price and ATC (L.E.)	2.51	2.14	2.48	0.89	0.34		
Rate of Return to Capital (%)	29.97	22.94	22.52	6.66	2.42		
CV (%)	26.91	20.35	35.18	42.40	18.94		

The following defines the above abbreviations: AVC- average variable costs; TVC- total variable costs; TC- total costs; ATC- average total costs; CV- coefficients of variation.

size are worth about 30% more than fish of second-classsize and about 130% more than fish of third-class-size. Tilapia offspring produced during grow-out compete with the initial stock for food and cause a lower yield of marketable fish with little economic value. Therefore, pond management strategy should attempt to achieve the efficient utilization of nutrient inputs and to minimize the potential for production of tilapia offspring during grow-out.

#### Net Returns and Costs

Net returns to land and management were greatest for the *Fertilization then Feed* treatment followed by, in decreasing order, *Traditional Egyptian*, *Enhanced Egyptian*, *Feed Only*, and *Chemical Fertilization* treatments (Table 5). Net returns to land and management of treatments that combined fertilization and feeding (*Fertil*- *ization then Feed, Enhanced Egyptian*, and *Traditional Egyptian*) were, on average, 16.1 times greater than the net returns for the extensive treatment (*Chemical Fertilization*). There was a positive relationship between net returns and gross fish yield. Since total fixed costs were similar for all production systems, the difference in net returns can be explained by fish yield, price received per unit of production, and total variable costs.

Tilapia are marketed according to size class in Egypt; market price per kilogram increases with fish size. Average prices were calculated according to the percentage of fish classes produced (Table 5). There was a positive relationship between intensification of production treatments and average price received for fish. A high average indicated that the fish harvest was predominantly composed of large fish.

Total variable costs (TVC) were higher for the semiintensive treatments, which utilize supplemental feed, than for the extensive treatments, which use fertilizer. The Enhanced Egyptian treatment had the highest variable cost and the Chemical Fertilization treatment had the lowest variable cost (Table 5). The high variable costs of semiintensive treatments primarily resulted from the cost of feed. Previous studies have shown that although there is a positive relationship between level of intensification and variable costs, the average cost for semi-intensive treatments may be lower than average cost for extensive treatments (Shang, 1981; Tucker et al., 1979). The average variable cost in Egyptian pounds (L.E.; L.E. 3.395 =US \$1, December 1994) per kilogram produced for each treatment is shown in Table 5. For the semi-intensive treatments the average variable cost per kilogram produced ranged from L.E. 2.21 for the Traditional Egyptian treatment to L.E. 3.23 for the Enhanced Egyptian treatment. The average variable cost per kilogram produced was estimated to be L.E. 2.50 for the extensive treatment (Chemical Fertilization). These results indicated that not all intensified treatments had lower average variable cost than the extensive treatment. In the case of uniform stocking rate, however, intensification should positively affect the final size of individual fish and increase fish production. Thus, considering the average price per kilogram, semi-intensive treatments had higher average return per kilogram above total variable cost than the extensive treatment (Table 5).

Total variable costs and the breakdowns of the variable cost component expressed as a percentage of total variable costs for each production system are given in Tables 6 and 7. Results of previous research indicated that feed and fertilizer were the most important cost items for intensive aquaculture (Shang, 1981; Hatch et al., 1987). For semi-intensive treatments feed costs ranged from 39% of TVC for the *Fertilization then Feed* treatment to 54% of TVC for the *Feed Only* treatment. Fertilizer costs ranged from 20% of TVC for the *Traditional Egyptian* treatment to 31% of TVC for the *Fertilization then Feed* treatment. Feed and fertilizer combined comprised 54-75% of TVC of production. Cost of fingerlings and fertilizers was 56% and 32% respectively of TVC for the extensive treatment (*Chemical Fertilization*).

### Performance Ratios

Performance ratios are summarized in Table 5. Value of production per man-hour ranged from L.E. 104 for the Fertilization then Feed treatment to L.E. 56 for the Feed Only and Chemical Fertilization treatments. The Fertilization then Feed treatment had the highest value of production per L.E. of total variable costs followed by, in decreasing order, the Traditional Egyptian, Enhanced Egyptian, Feed Only, and Chemical Fertilization treatments. The value of production per 100 kg of feed ranged from L.E. 245 for the Feed Only treatment to L.E. 468 for the Fertilization then Feed treatment. Break-even prices and break-even yields to cover total costs also are shown in Table 5. The Traditional Egyptian treatment had the lowest break-even price to cover total costs followed by, in increasing order, the Fertilization then Feed, Feed Only, Chemical Fertilization, and Enhanced Egyptian treatments. The Fertilization then Feed treatment, however, had the highest margin between break-even price to cover total costs and average price followed by, in decreasing order, the Enhanced Egyptian, Traditional Egyptian, Feed Only, and Chemical Fertilization treatments.

Rate of return to capital was calculated as a measure of profitability for each system (Table 5). Land was valued at L.E. 32,640 for a 2.1-ha pond, other investments were estimated at L.E. 34,204, and return to management was assumed to be zero. The *Fertilization then Feed* treatment had the highest rate of return to capital (29.97%), followed by the *Traditional Egyptian* treatment (22.94%), the *Enhanced Egyptian* treatment (22.52%), the *Feed Only* treatment (6.66%) and the *Chemical Fertilization* treatment (2.42%).

#### Sensitivity Analysis

Variation in yield, as indicated by coefficients of variation. was less in the extensive treatment (*Chemical Fertilization*) than in the semi-intensive treatments (Table 5). Similar results have been reported by other researchers (Tucker et al., 1979). Actual prices received from the Cairo Fish Marketing Company were used to estimate total returns. These prices, however, were slightly higher than local prices. Therefore, the impacts of price reduction and output level reduction were estimated (Table 8).

			Ferti	lization the	1 Feed		Feed Only		Cher	nical Fertili	zation
Item	Unit	Price or Cost per Unit (L.E.)	Quantity	Value or Cost (L.E.)	% of Total	Quantity	Value or Cost (L.E.)	% of Total	Quantity	Value or Cost (L.E.)	% of Total
GROSS RETURNS											
lst Class tilapia 2nd Class tilapia 3rd Class tilapia 4th Class tilapia	kg kg kg kg	7.85 6.00 3.40 1.75	1,843 3,413 1,290 1,070	14,467 20,478 4,386 1,873	35.11 49.70 10.65 4.54	207 1,832 1,355 665	2,410 10,992 4,607 1,164	12.57 57.33 24.03 6.07	7 1,099 1,516 351	55 6,594 5,154 614	0.44 53.10 41.51 4.95
Total Returns				41,204	100.00		19,173	100.00		12,417	100.00
VARIABLE COSTS											
Fingerlings Feed Superphosphate	Thousand Metric ton 50-kg bag	100 800 18	42 8.797	4,200 7,038	23.20 38.88	42 7.814	4,200 6,251	36.64 54.54	42 73.75	4,200 1,328	56.53 17.87
Chicken Litter Labor Equipment Repair Pond Maintenance Interest on Operating Cap	m3	23 55.5	101.38 396	5,627 298 75 350 513	31.09 1.64 0.41 1.93 2.83	343	261 75 350 325	2.28 0.65 3.05 2.83	43.34 221	1,084 183 75 350 211	14.58 2.46 1.00 4.71 2.83
Total Variable Costs				18,101	100.00		11,462	100.00		7,431	100.00
Income Above Variable C	Costs			23,103			7,711			4,986	
FIXED COSTS											
Depreciation Pond Equipr Interest on Investment	ment			1,949 2,052	48.70 51.30		1,949 2,052	48.70 51.30		1,949 2,052	48.70 51.30
Total Fixed Costs				4,001	100.00		4,001	100.00		4,001	100.00
Net Returns to Land and N	Management			19,102			3,710			985	

Table 6. Estimated budgets in Egyptian pounds (L.E.; L.E. 3.395 = US \$1, Dec 1994) for Nile tilapia pond management systems in 2.1-ha earthen ponds for a 145-day grow-out period.

.

		Price or		Traditional Egyptia	in	E	Enhanced Egyptian	
	Unit	Cost per Unit (L. E.)	Quantity	Value or Cost (L.E.)	% of Total	Quantity	Value or Cost (L.E.)	% of Total
GROSS RETURNS								
lst Class tilapia 2nd Class tilapia 3rd Class tilapia 4th Class tilapia	kg kg kg kg	7.85 6.00 3.40 1.75	467 3.477 2,390 423	3,666 20,862 8,126 740	10.98 62.47 24.33 2.22	2,212 2,914 388 180	17,364 17,484 1,319 315	47.60 47.92 3.62 0.86
Total Returns				33,394	100.00		36,482	100.00
VARIABLE COSTS								
Fingerlings Feed Superphosphate Urea Chicken Litter Labor Equipment Repair	thousand metric ton 50-kg bag 50-kg bag m <sup>3</sup>	80 800 18 25 55.5	42 9.055 89.04 10.00 21.23 591	3,360 7,244 1,603 250 1,178 417 75	22.55 48.62 10.76 1.68 7.91 2.80 0.50	42 10.187 101 385	3,360 8,150 5,627 288 75	18.29 44.36 30.63 1.57 0.41
Pond Maintenance Interest on Operating	Capital			350 422	2.35 2.83		350 521	1.91
Total Variable Costs				14,899	100.00		18,371	100.00
Income Above Variat	ole Costs			18,495			18,111	
FIXED COSTS		6. <del>7</del>						
Depreciation Pond Eq Interest on Investmer	uipment at			1,949 2,052	48.70 51.30		1,949 2,052	48.70 51.30
Total Fixed Costs				4,001	100.00		4,001	100.00
Net Returns to Land a	nd Management			14,495			14,111	

Table 7. Estimated budgets (Egyptian Pounds, L.E.; L.E. 3.395 = US \$1, Dec 1994) for Nile tilapia pond management systems in 2.1-ha earthen ponds for a 145-day grow-out period.

At a 10% or 15% price reduction, all production of the systems except the *Chemical Fertilization* treatment had positive net returns (Table 4). Twenty percent decreases on the price level resulted in negative net returns for the *Chemical Fertilization* and *Feed Only* treatments. One or two standard error decreases in production level with no reduction in sales price resulted in negative net returns for the *Feed Only* and *Chemical Fertilization* treatments. Results *Chemical Fertilization* and *Chemical Fertilization* treatments. Results

of the combined changes in price and production level showed the following: 1) decreases in production level by one standard error and in price by 10%, 15% or 20% resulted in negative net returns for the *Feed Only* and *Chemical Fertilization* treatments, and 2) decreases in production level by two standard errors and in price by 10%, 15% or 20% resulted in negative net returns for the *Feed Only*, *Chemical Fertilization* and *Enhanced Egyptian* treatments.

Production System	Change in Sale	Net Returns (L.E.)					
		F	Production Level Estima	ites			
		Mean	Mean - 1 S.E.	Mean - 2 S. E.			
Fertilization then Feed	0	18.104	13.564	8.020			
Traditional Egyptian	0	14.494	11.120	7.719			
Enhanced Egyptian	0	14.111	7.697	1.280			
Feed Only	0	3.710	(356)	(4.421)			
Chemical Fertilization	0	987	(188)	(1.365)			
Fertilization then Feed	- 10	14.983	9.997	5.008			
Traditional Egyptian	- 10	11.154	6.617	5.057			
Enhanced Egyptian	- 10	10.463	4.690	(1.085)			
Feed Only	- 10	1.793	(1.867)	(5.525)			
Chemical Fertilization	- 10	(255)	(1.312)	(2.371)			
Fertilization then Feed	- 15	12.923	8.214	3.502			
Traditional Egyptian	- 15	9.485	6.512	3.726			
Enhanced Egyptian	- 15	8.639	3.187	(2.268)			
Feed Only	- 15	835	(2.622)	(6.077)			
Chemical Fertilization	- 15	(875)	(1.874)	(2.875)			
Fertilization then Feed	- 20	10.863	6.431	1.996			
Traditional Egyptian	- 20	7.815	5.116	2.395			
Enhanced Egyptian	- 20	6.815	1.684	(3.450)			
Feed Only	- 20	(124)	(3.377)	(6.629)			
Chemical Fertilization	- 20	(1.496)	(2.437)	(3.378)			

#### Conclusions

Results of these production trials and economic analyses demonstrated sufficient incentive for the expansion of intensified pond culture of tilapia in Egypt. The analyses indicated that the Fertilization then Feed, Traditional Egyptian, and Enhanced Egyptian treatments, in decreasing order, were more economically viable than the Feed Only and Chemical Fertilization treatments. Fertilization then Feed, Traditional Egyptian, and Enhanced Egyptian treatments, in decreasing order, produced the greatest gross fish yield, net returns, and average rates of return on capital. These treatments also had the highest values of production per man-hour, per kilogram of feed, and per L.E. of variable cost. In addition these treatments achieved the highest margins between average prices and break-even prices to cover either total variable costs or total costs. Only following 46%, 43%, and 39% reductions in average price respectively were net returns negative for the Fertilization then Feed, Traditional Egyptian, and Enhanced Egyptian treatments. This indicated that there would be reduced risks to farmers in the event of unexpected decreases in market price. Moreover, the above treatments had the highest net returns when fish yields were estimated at one or two standard errors below the mean. Traditional Egyptian and Fertilization then Feed treatments maintained positive net returns to land and management even in the extreme case scenario of the combined effects of production failure and a 20% decline in market price.

# References

- APHA (American Public Health Association), American Water Works Association, and Water Pollution Control Federation, 1989. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 17th edition. APHA, Washington, D.C.
- Boehlje, M. and V.R. Eidman, 1984. Farm Management. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 806 pp.
- Diana, J.S., C.K. Lin, and K. Jaiyen, 1994. Pond dynamics under semi-intensive and intensive culture practices. In: H.S. Egna, J. Bowman, B. Goetze, and N. Weidner (Editors), Eleventh Annual Administrative Report. Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture Collaborative Research Support

Program, Office of International Research and Development, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA, pp. 94-99.

- El Hendy, A.M., 1990. Tilapia cage culture in Domyatt: Technical and economic evaluation. United Scientists for Projects and Development Symposium on Biology and Culture of Tilapia, Egyptian Fisheries Co. for Fishing and Fish Gears, 27-31 October 1990, Alexandria, Egypt (unpubl.).
- Green, B.W., 1992. Substitution of organic manure for pelleted feed in tilapia production. Aquaculture, 101: 213-222.
- Hall, C.A.S. and R. Moll, 1975. Methods of assessing aquatic primary productivity. In: H. Leith and R.H. Whittaker (Editors), Primary Productivity and the Biosphere. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 19-53.
- Hatch, U.R. Dunham, H. Hebicha, and J. Jensen, 1987.
  Economic analysis of channel catfish egg, fry, fingerling, and food fish production in Alabama, Circular 291. Alabama Agricultural Experimental Station, Auburn University, Alabama, USA.
- Hatch, U. and T. R. Hanson, 1991. Economic viability of farm diversification through tropical aquaculture in less developed countries, Working Paper 91-1. Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, Auburn University, Alabama, USA.
- Kay, D.R., 1981. Farm Management: Planning, Control, and Implementation. McGraw-Hill, New York, 370 pp.
- Knud-Hansen, C.F., T.R. Batterson, C.D. McNabb, and K. Jaiyen, 1992. Chicken manure as a source of carbon in the production of *Oreochromis niloticus*. In: H. S. Egna, M. McNamara, and N. Weidner, (Editors), Ninth Annual Administrative Report. Pond Dynamics/ Aquaculture Collaborative Research Support Program, Office of International Research and Development, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA, pp. 49-55.
- Newman, J.R., A. Gatera, W.K. Seim, T.J. Popma, and K.L. Veverica, 1994. Nitrogen requirements for maximum fish production in Rwandan ponds. In: H.S.

Egna, J. Bowman, B. Goetze, and N. Weidner (Editors), Eleventh Annual Administrative Report. Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture Collaborative Research Support Program, Office of International Research and Development, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA, pp. 46-51.

- Shang, Y.C., 1981. Aquaculture Economics: Basic Concepts and Methods of Analysis. Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, USA, 153 pp.
- Soliman, I. and M. Gaber, 1988. An economic study of existing aquaculture systems in Egypt (in Arabic).
  Proceedings of the 13th International Congress for Statistics, Computer Science, Social and Demographic Research, 26-31 March 1988, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- Teichert-Coddington, D.R., B.W. Green, C.E. Boyd, R.
  Gomez, and N. Claros, 1993. Substitution of inorganic nitrogen and phosphorous for chicken litter in production of tilapia. In: H.S. Egna, M. McNamara, J. Bowman, and N. Astin (Editors), Tenth Annual Administrative Report. Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture Collaborative Research Support Program, Office of International Research and Development, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA, pp. 19-27
- Tucker, L., C.E. Boyd, and E.W. McCoy, 1979. Effect of feeding rate on water quality, production of channel catfish and economic returns. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 108: 389-396.

**CRSP Research Reports** are published as occasional papers and are available free of charge from the Program Management Office, Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture CRSP, Office of International Research and Development, Snell Hall 400, Corvallis, OR 97331-1641.

**CRSP Research Reports** present technical papers of research supported by the Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture CRSP. Papers are assigned publication numbers, which should be referred to in any request for reprints.

November 15, 1995

**CRSP RESEARCH REPORTS 95-91** 

Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture CRSP



Office of International Research & Development Oregon State University Snell Hall 400 Corvallis, OR 97331-1641 USA



#### Director ......Hillary S. Egna Assistant Director ......Marion McNamara

Published by the Program Management Office of the Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture Collaborative Research Support Program (PD/A CRSP). The PD/A CRSP is supported by the U.S. Agency for International Development under Grant No.: DAN-4023-G-00-0031-00